

Market.xyz - SDK

Penetration Testing

Prepared by: Halborn

Date of Engagement: May 17th, 2022 - May 31st, 2022

Visit: Halborn.com

DOCU	MENT REVISION HISTORY	4
CONT	ACTS	4
1	EXECUTIVE OVERVIEW	5
1.1	INTRODUCTION	6
1.2	AUDIT SUMMARY	6
1.3	TEST APPROACH & METHODOLOGY	6
	RISK METHODOLOGY	6
1.4	SCOPE	8
2	ASSESSMENT SUMMARY & FINDINGS OVERVIEW	9
3	FINDINGS & TECH DETAILS	10
3.1	(HAL-01) MULTIPLE OUTDATED PACKAGE DEPENDENCIES - MEDIUM	12
	Description	12
	Result	12
	Risk Level	12
	Recommendation	13
	Remediation Plan	13
3.2	(HAL-02) LACK OF INPUT VALIDATION - LOW	14
	Description	14
	Code Location	14
	Risk Level	17
	Recommendation	17
	Remediation Plan	18
3.3	(HAL-03) LACK OF ERROR HANDLING - LOW	19
	Description	19

	Code Location	19
	Risk Level	21
	Recommendation	21
	Remediation Plan	22
3.4	(HAL-04) METHOD OVERLOAD AND ERRORS IGNORED - LOW	23
	Description	23
	Code Location	23
	Risk Level	24
	Recommendation	25
	Remediation Plan	25
3.5	(HAL-05) INCORRECT TYPE OF TRANSFER INPUT - LOW	26
	Description	26
	Code Location	26
	Risk Level	28
	Recommendation	28
	Remediation Plan	28
3.6	(HAL-06) MISSING METHODS - INFORMATIONAL	29
	Description	29
	Missing methods	29
	Risk Level	29
	Recommendation	29
	Remediation Plan	30
3.7	(HAL-07) MISSING INPUT PARAMETER - INFORMATIONAL	31
	Description	31
	Code Location	31
	Rick Level	32

	Recommendation	32
	Remediation Plan	32
3.8	(HAL-08) INCORRECT NAMING - INFORMATIONAL	33
	Description	33
	Code Location	33
	Risk Level	34
	Recommendation	34
	Remediation Plan	34
3.9	(HAL-09) COMMENTS OF PENDING TASKS - INFORMATIONAL	35
	Description	35
	Code Location	35
	Risk Level	35
	Recommendation	36
	Remediation Plan	36

DOCUMENT REVISION HISTORY

VERSION	MODIFICATION	DATE	AUTHOR
0.1	Document Creation	05/20/2022	Elena Calvo
0.2	Document Edits	05/26/2022	Elena Calvo
0.3	Draft Review	05/27/2022	Gabi Urrutia
1.0	Remediation Plan	07/11/2022	Elena Calvo
1.1	Remediation Plan Review	07/11/2022	Gabi Urrutia

CONTACTS

CONTACT	COMPANY	EMAIL
Rob Behnke	Halborn	Rob.Behnke@halborn.com
Steven Walbroehl	Halborn	Steven.Walbroehl@halborn.com
Gabi Urrutia	Halborn	Gabi.Urrutia@halborn.com
Gokberk Gulgun	Halborn	Gokberk.Gulgun@halborn.com
Elena Calvo	Halborn	Elena.Maranon@halborn.com

EXECUTIVE OVERVIEW

1.1 INTRODUCTION

Market.xyz engaged Halborn to conduct a penetration testing on their SDK beginning on May 17th, 2022 and ending on May 31st, 2022. The security assessment was scoped to the SDK provided to the Halborn team.

1.2 AUDIT SUMMARY

The team at Halborn was provided two weeks for the engagement and assigned a full-time security engineer to audit the security of the SDK which will be used to communicate with the smart contracts. The security engineer is a blockchain and security expert with advanced penetration testing, smart-contract hacking, and deep knowledge of multiple blockchain protocols.

The purpose of this audit is to:

- Ensure that SDK functions operate as intended
- Identify potential security issues with the SDK

In summary, Halborn identified some security risks that were addressed and accepted by the Market.xyz team. The main ones are the following:

- Outdated and vulnerable package dependencies.
- Lack of input validation and error handling.
- Bad method implementations: wrong inputs, missing parameters, incorrect naming, etc.

1.3 TEST APPROACH & METHODOLOGY

RISK METHODOLOGY:

Vulnerabilities or issues observed by Halborn are ranked based on the risk assessment methodology by measuring the **LIKELIHOOD** of a security incident

and the **IMPACT** should an incident occur. This framework works for communicating the characteristics and impacts of technology vulnerabilities. The quantitative model ensures repeatable and accurate measurement while enabling users to see the underlying vulnerability characteristics that were used to generate the Risk scores. For every vulnerability, a risk level will be calculated on a scale of 5 to 1 with 5 being the highest likelihood or impact.

RISK SCALE - LIKELIHOOD

- 5 Almost certain an incident will occur.
- 4 High probability of an incident occurring.
- 3 Potential of a security incident in the long term.
- 2 Low probability of an incident occurring.
- 1 Very unlikely issue will cause an incident.

RISK SCALE - IMPACT

- 5 May cause devastating and unrecoverable impact or loss.
- 4 May cause a significant level of impact or loss.
- 3 May cause a partial impact or loss to many.
- 2 May cause temporary impact or loss.
- 1 May cause minimal or un-noticeable impact.

The risk level is then calculated using a sum of these two values, creating a value of 10 to 1 with 10 being the highest level of security risk.

CRITICAL	HIGH	MEDIUM	LOW	INFORMATIONAL
----------	------	--------	-----	---------------

- 10 CRITICAL
- 9 8 HIGH
- **7 6** MEDIUM
- 5 4 LOW
- 3 1 VERY LOW AND INFORMATIONAL

1.4 SCOPE

- SDK Market.xyz
 - (a) Repository: market.xyz-sdk
 - (b) Commit ID: 46efbd8e9607710e7211220eecde6fde883a0d95

Out-of-scope: External libraries and financial related attacks

IMPACT

2. ASSESSMENT SUMMARY & FINDINGS OVERVIEW

CRITICAL	HIGH	MEDIUM	LOW	INFORMATIONAL
0	0	1	4	4

LIKELIHOOD

		(HAL-01)	
(HAL-06) (HAL-07)	(HAL-02) (HAL-03) (HAL-04) (HAL-05)		
(HAL-08) (HAL-09)			

SECURITY ANALYSIS	RISK LEVEL	REMEDIATION DATE
(HAL-01) MULTIPLE OUTDATED PACKAGE DEPENDENCIES	Medium	SOLVED - 07/04/2022
(HAL-02) LACK OF INPUT VALIDATION	Low	RISK ACCEPTED
(HAL-03) LACK OF ERROR HANDLING	Low	RISK ACCEPTED
(HAL-04) METHOD OVERLOAD AND ERRORS IGNORED	Low	RISK ACCEPTED
(HAL-05) INCORRECT TYPE OF TRANSFER INPUT	Low	RISK ACCEPTED
(HAL-06) MISSING METHODS	Informational	ACKNOWLEDGED
(HAL-07) MISSING INPUT PARAMETER	Informational	ACKNOWLEDGED
(HAL-08) INCORRECT NAMING	Informational	ACKNOWLEDGED
(HAL-09) COMMENTS OF PENDING TASKS	Informational	ACKNOWLEDGED

FINDINGS & TECH DETAILS

3.1 (HAL-01) MULTIPLE OUTDATED PACKAGE DEPENDENCIES - MEDIUM

Description:

The automatic analysis of the project dependencies shows that there are some vulnerable dependencies that should be updated to the patched version.

One of these vulnerabilities has been rated as critical and the rest as high.

```
minimist <1.2.6
Severity: critical
Prototype Pollution in minimist - https://github.com/advisories/GHSA-xvch-5gv4-984h
fix available via `npm audit fix`
node modules/minimist</pre>
```

Figure 1: npm audit evidence

The following table includes the links to the explanation of the vulnerabilities and its remediation.

Result:

Package	Vulnerability	Patched
rackage	Vulliel ability	version
async	Prototype Pollution	=2.6.4
async	rrototype rollution	>=3.2.2
cross-fetch	Incorrect Authorization	=2.2.6
Cross-retti	THEOFFECT AUTHORIZATION	>=3.1.5
minimist	Prototype Pollution	>=1.2.6
mada fatab	Exposure of Sensitive Information to an	=2.6.7
node-fetch	Unauthorized Actor	>=3.1.1

Risk Level:

Likelihood - 4

Impact - 3

Recommendation:

It is recommended to update all the dependencies of the project to patch security issues. Executing the command npm audit fix would update these dependencies automatically. In addition, it is also worth considering continuously monitor the versions of SDK components and their dependencies using tools like retire.js and Snyk. Please remember to always obtain components only from official sources over secure links. Prefer signed packages to reduce the chance of including a modified, malicious component.

The async package update should be treated carefully due to its dependency tree. Some packages depend on directly from this one and their update may affect the code performance. The packages affected are: merkle-patricia-tree, ethereumjs-block,ethereumjs-vm, web3-provider-engine and @truffle/hdwallet-provider. It is recommended to study how it could affect the update of this packages to the code before making the upgrade.

Remediation Plan:

SOLVED: The Market.xyz team solved the issue by updating the outdated package dependencies.

3.2 (HAL-02) LACK OF INPUT VALIDATION - LOW

Description:

During the SDK analysis it has been noticed that most of the method's implementation consists in a direct call to the contract functions without any kind of input validation, error handling or data operation.

The lack of input validation on the SDK leaves all kind of validations to the contract itself, leading to an unnecessary computation waste in the communication to the blockchain. A simple validation of input parameters before the contract call and using a proper error handling methodology will reduce the computational waste and highly improve the quality and utility of the SDK, even some security problems could be avoided, depending on the contracts code.

The next section contains some examples of this issue.

Code Location:

The function convertIRMtoCurve from market-sdk-main/src/lib/JumpRateModel.ts implements multiple mathematical operations using values which are extracted from the input, and they are not validated. Due to the use of Big Number library, the overflow errors are discarded, but the conversion of the input values to BN could raise an error due to the mentioned lack of validation.

```
Listing 1: market-sdk-main/src/lib/JumpRateModels.ts (Lines 107-112,127-131)

84 async convertIRMtoCurve(cToken: CToken) {
85 const [
86 reserveFactorMantissa,
87 baseRatePerBlock,
88 kink,
```

```
] = await Promise.all([
  cToken.reserveFactorMantissa(),
  this.baseRatePerBlock(),
  this.kink(),
  this.multiplierPerBlock(),
  this.jumpMultiplierPerBlock()
]);
const borrowerRates: { x: number; y: number }[] = [];
const supplierRates: { x: number; y: number }[] = [];
for (let i = 0; i \le 100; i++) {
  const supplyLevel =
    (Math.pow(
      (Number(
        this._getSupplyRate(
          Web3.utils.toBN((i * 1e16).toString()),
          Web3.utils.toBN(reserveFactorMantissa),
          Web3.utils.toBN(kink),
          Web3.utils.toBN(multiplierPerBlock),
          Web3.utils.toBN(baseRatePerBlock),
          Web3.utils.toBN(jumpMultiplierPerBlock)
        ).toString(),
        1e18) *
        (this.sdk.options!.blocksPerMin * 60 * 24) +
      365,
      1) *
    100;
    (Math.pow(
      (Number (
        this._getBorrowRate(
          Web3.utils.toBN((i * 1e16).toString()),
          Web3.utils.toBN(kink),
          Web3.utils.toBN(multiplierPerBlock),
          Web3.utils.toBN(baseRatePerBlock),
          Web3.utils.toBN(jumpMultiplierPerBlock)
        ).toString(),
```

The following methods are two examples extracted from Comptroller class (market-sdk-main/src/lib/Comptroller.ts). The first method makes a partial input validation, checking whether the input is an instance of CToken class or not. In case of negative result, it assigns the input parameter "cToken" directly to the contract call, without verifying if it is a real address or an empty string.

The second method assigns directly the input parameter to the contract call without any kind of checking if the value could be converted to number or BN.

```
53 ): PromiEvent < TransactionReceipt > {
54     return this.contract.methods._setCloseFactor(
    newCloseFactorMantissa).send(tx);
55 }
56
```

This example seems to obtain the input parameter from standard input (because of the name arg0). That input is directly passed to the contract, and any type of validation depends on how the client uses the SDK.

```
Listing 3: market-sdk-main/src/lib/Comptroller.ts (Line 413)

404 markets(
405 arg0: string,
406 tx?: NonPayableTx
407 ): Promise <{
408 isListed: boolean;
409 collateralFactorMantissa: string;
410 0: boolean;
411 1: string;
412 }> {
413 return this.contract.methods.markets(arg0).call(tx);
414 }
```

Risk Level:

Likelihood - 2 Impact - 2

Recommendation:

It is recommended to implement input validation inside methods and functions of the SDK to avoid non-necessary communications with the blockchain and even preventing security issues (this affirmation depends on how the contracts are implemented). Currently, all kinds of input validation, as well as error handling, depend on how the user makes use of the SDK, being responsible for adding the extra code needed for all the checks, as it can be shown on the examples folder.

Remediation Plan:

RISK ACCEPTED: The Market.xyz team accepted the risk of this finding.

3.3 (HAL-03) LACK OF ERROR HANDLING - LOW

Description:

The complete analysis of the SDK has revealed that there is not any kind of error handling along the code. As mentioned on the previous section, most of the methods consist on direct calls to the contract without any process of data inside, so the error handling is left to the contract itself. However, there are some functions that implement mathematical operations or data assignments whose potential errors are not handled.

The next section contains some examples of this issue.

Code Location:

The normalizePoolAsset function from market-sdk-main/src/lib/Pools.ts file is a normalization function with 20 input parameters which are directly assigned to variables. None of them are validated and there is no error handling neither. Working with an array of such dimensions could easily rise a NullPointer error in case of having any input missed.

```
Listing 4: market-sdk-main/src/lib/Pools.ts

70 export function normalizePoolAsset(raw: {
71     0: string;
72     1: string;
73     2: string;
74     3: string;
75     4: string;
76     5: string;
77     6: string;
78     7: string;
79     8: string;
80     9: string;
81     10: string;
82     11: string;
83     12: string;
84     13: boolean;
```

```
14: string;
     15: string;
     16: string;
     17: string;
     18: string;
     19: string;
     20: string;
92 }, sdk: MarketSDK): PoolAsset {
     return {
       cToken: new CToken(sdk, raw[0]),
       underlyingToken: raw[1],
       underlyingName: raw[2],
       underlyingSymbol: raw[3],
       underlyingDecimals: new BN(raw[4]),
       underlyingBalance: new BN(raw[5]),
       supplyRatePerBlock: new BN(raw[6]),
       borrowRatePerBlock: new BN(raw[7]),
       totalSupply: new BN(raw[8]),
       totalBorrow: new BN(raw[9]),
       supplyBalance: new BN(raw[10]),
       borrowBalance: new BN(raw[11]),
       liquidity: new BN(raw[12]),
       membership: raw[13],
       exchangeRate: new BN(raw[14]),
       underlyingPrice: new BN(raw[15]),
       oracle: raw[16],
       collateralFactor: new BN(raw[17]),
       reserveFactor: new BN(raw[18]),
       adminFee: new BN(raw[19]),
       fuseFee: new BN(raw[20]),
116 }
```

The method getPoolsByAccount from file market-sdk-main/src/lib/PoolDirectory.ts implements some data processing. The input parameter is used to make the contract call, and the result obtained is processed over two 'for' loops and pushed into a couple of arrays before being returned. Due to the lack of input validation, in case of a wrong account parameter, the result of the call would be an error. This potential error is not handled.

Listing 5: market-sdk-main/src/lib/PoolDirectory.ts async getPoolsByAccount(account: string, tx?: NonPayableTx): Promise <{</pre> indexes: BN[]; pools: Pool[]; }> { const { 0: indexesRaw, 1: poolsRaw } = await this.contract. methods.getPoolsByAccount(account).call(tx); const indexes: BN[] = []; const pools: Pool[] = []; for(const pool of poolsRaw){ pools.push(normalizePool(pool, this.sdk)); for(const index of indexesRaw){ indexes.push(new BN(index)); return { indexes, pools };

Risk Level:

Likelihood - 2 Impact - 2

Recommendation:

As mentioned on input validation issue, it is recommended to implement error handling inside methods and functions of the SDK to facilitate to the user working with the SDK. In case of error, if error handling is applied, it would be easier to debug the code and find the root of the problem. Currently, error handling, as well as input validation, depends on how the user makes use of the SDK, being responsible for adding the extra code needed for all the checks as it can be shown on the examples folder.

Remediation Plan:

RISK ACCEPTED: The Market.xyz team accepted the risk of this finding.

3.4 (HAL-04) METHOD OVERLOAD AND ERRORS IGNORED - LOW

Description:

The method init, belonging to MarketSDK class, from the file market-sdk-main/src/lib/MarketSDK.ts presents the same method name with two different signatures and also two different implementations.

According to Typescript documentation, function/method overload is allowed having different signatures as log as the implementation signature is only defined once.

Reference: https://www.typescriptlang.org/docs/handbook/2/functions.html#function-overloads

In addition, the init() method contains multiple @ts-ignore tags for almost all the option parameters. According to Typescript documentation: this comment only suppresses the error reporting, and we recommend you use this comments very sparingly; therefore, it's recommended to limit the use of this tag and, in case of use, always reporting which error will be suppressed.

Reference: https://www.typescriptlang.org/docs/handbook/release-notes/ typescript-2-6.html#suppress-errors-in-ts-files-using--ts-ignore-comments

Code Location:

First init method:

```
Listing 6: market-sdk-main/lib/MarketSDK.ts (Lines 23,27,30)

23    async init(){
24    if(!this.options){
25       const chainId = await this.web3.eth.getChainId() as keyof
      Lypeof Addrs;
26
27    // @ts-ignore
```

Second init method with different signature implementation:

```
Listing 7: market-sdk-main/lib/MarketSDK.ts (Line 58)

58  static async init(web3: Web3, options?: MarketOptions){

59  const sdk = new MarketSDK(web3, options);

60  await sdk.init();

61

62  return sdk;

63 }
```

Risk Level:

Likelihood - 2

Impact - 2

Recommendation:

For the duplicity of init signature, it is recommended to rename one of the methods to avoid possible errors.

The excessive use of @ts-ignore tag would be fixed using a proper error handling methodology like try-catch structure, as mentioned on finding HAL-03.

Remediation Plan:

RISK ACCEPTED: The Market.xyz team accepted the risk of this finding.

3.5 (HAL-05) INCORRECT TYPE OF TRANSFER INPUT - LOW

Description:

The methods liquidateBorrow, mint, repayBorrow and repayBorrowBehalf belonging to class CTokenV2 from market-sdk-main/src/lib/CToken.ts are defined on the ABI of the contract as payable functions; however, the interface implemented by send parameter (tx) is NonPayableTX. This interface does not accept the parameter value, which is already considered on the interface PayableTx.

Code Location:

Declaration of liquidateBorrow method:

```
Listing 8: market-sdk-main/src/lib/CToken.ts (Lines 638,647)

635 liquidateBorrow(
636 borrower: string,
637 cTokenCollateral: CTokenV2 | string,
638 tx?: NonPayableTx
639 ): PromiEvent<TransactionReceipt> {
640
```

ABI interface for liquidateBorrow function of the contract:

```
Listing 9: market-sdk-main/abi/CTokenV2.json (Lines 1070-1071,1079-
1080)

1068     "name": "liquidateBorrow",
1069     "outputs": [],
1070     "payable": true,
1071     "stateMutability": "payable",
1072     "type": "function"
```

Declaration of mint method:

```
Listing 10: market-sdk-main/src/lib/CToken.ts (Line 647)

646 mint(
647 tx?: NonPayableTx

648 ): PromiEvent<TransactionReceipt> {
649 return this.contract.methods.mint().send(tx);

650 }
```

ABI interface for mint function of the contract:

Declaration of repayBorrow method:

```
Listing 12: market-sdk-main/src/lib/CToken.ts (Line 679)

678 repayBorrow(
679 tx?: NonPayableTx
680 ): PromiEvent<TransactionReceipt> {
681 return this.contract.methods.repayBorrow().send(tx);
682 }
```

ABI interface for repayBorrow function of the contract:

```
Listing 13: market-sdk-main/abi/CTokenV2.json (Lines 1159-1160,1174-1175)

"name": "repayBorrow",
"outputs": [],
"payable": true,
"stateMutability": "payable",
"type": "function"
```

Declaration of repayBorrowBehalf method:

Listing 14: market-sdk-main/src/lib/CToken.ts (Line 685) 684 repayBorrowBehalf(685 borrower: string, 686 tx?: NonPayableTx 687): PromiEvent<TransactionReceipt> { 688 return this.contract.methods.repayBorrowBehalf(borrower).send(L, tx); 689 }

ABI interface for repayBorrowBehalf function of the contract:

```
Listing 15: market-sdk-main/abi/CTokenV2.json (Lines 1174-1175)

"name": "repayBorrowBehalf",

"outputs": [],

"payable": true,

"stateMutability": "payable",

"type": "function"

177 },
```

Risk Level:

Likelihood - 2 Impact - 2

Recommendation:

It is recommended to change the tx type from NonPayableTx to PayableTx.

Remediation Plan:

RISK ACCEPTED: The Market.xyz team accepted the risk of this finding.

3.6 (HAL-06) MISSING METHODS - INFORMATIONAL

Description:

The purpose of an SDK is to facilitate the use of the contract interface to any user, translating the functions contained in the ABI files to a group of Typescript classes and methods to make an easier integration within the web environment.

During the analysis of the SDK, almost all the functions contained in the ABI have been converted to typescript methods, but some of them have been missed:

Missing methods:

Contract	Function from ABI
ComptrollerV2	supplyCaps
CToken	addReserves
CToken	comptroller
CV2Token	comptroller
JumpRateModel	isInterestRateModel
PoolDirectory	setAdminDeployer
PoolLensv1	directory

Risk Level:

Likelihood - 1

Impact - 2

Recommendation:

It is recommended to review the missed functions to verify if the absence is due to a mistake during development, or it was on purpose.

Remediation Plan:

ACKNOWLEDGED: The Market.xyz team acknowledged this finding.

3.7 (HAL-07) MISSING INPUT PARAMETER - INFORMATIONAL

Description:

The method getPoolSummary belonging to the class PoolLensV2 from file market-sdk-main/src/lib/PoolLens.ts only have the 'tx' input parameter defined, however, the ABI interface of the contract (PoolLensV2.json) has defined another input parameter called comptroller from contract Comptroller.

Code Location:

Method getPoolSummary from class PoolLensV2:

```
Listing 16: market-sdk-main/src/lib/PoolLens.ts (Lines 328,335)

327 async getPoolSummary(
328 tx?: NonPayableTx
329 ): Promise <{
330 totalSupply: BN,
331 totalBorrow: BN,
332 underlyingTokens: string[],
333 underlyingSymbols: string[],
334 }> {
335 const raw = await this.contract.methods.getPoolSummary(this.
1, address).call(tx);
336
337 return {
338 totalSupply: new BN(raw[0]),
339 totalBorrow: new BN(raw[1]),
340 underlyingTokens: raw[2],
341 underlyingSymbols: raw[3],
342 };
343 }
```

ABI interface for getPoolSummary from PoolLensV2:

Risk Level:

Likelihood - 1

Impact - 2

Recommendation:

It is recommended to modify the signature definition on the method getPoolSummary from class PoolLensV2 to add the missing comptroller input parameter.

Remediation Plan:

ACKNOWLEDGED: The Market.xyz team acknowledged this finding.

3.8 (HAL-08) INCORRECT NAMING - INFORMATIONAL

Description:

The initialize method, belonging to CTokenV2 class, from file market-sdk-main/src/lib/CToken.ts, has not been properly named regarding the ABI interface of the contract.

Code Location:

Nomenclature of initialize function in CTokenV2 class:

```
Listing 18: market-sdk-main/src/lib/CToken.ts (Lines 586,599,602,613)
□ uint256)"(
       comptroller: ComptrollerV2 | string,
       interestRateModel: string,
       symbol: string,
       tx?: NonPayableTx
     ): PromiEvent < TransactionReceipt > {
       comptroller = comptroller instanceof ComptrollerV2 ?
       return this.contract.methods["initialize(address, address,
□ uint256, string, string, uint8, uint256, uint256) [(comptroller,
   decimals, reserveFactorMantissa, adminFeeMantissa).send(tx);
       comptroller: ComptrollerV2 | string,
       interestRateModel: string,
       name: string,
       symbol: string,
```

```
reserveFactorMantissa: number | string | BN,
adminFeeMantissa: number | string | BN,
tx?: NonPayableTx
): PromiEvent<TransactionReceipt> {
comptroller = comptroller instanceof ComptrollerV2 ?
comptroller.address : comptroller;

return this.contract.methods["initialize(address,address, string,string,uint256,uint256)"](comptroller, interestRateModel, name, symbol, reserveFactorMantissa, adminFeeMantissa).send(tx);

adminFeeMantissa: number | string | BN,
BN,
BN,
Comptroller | SN,
Comptroller | SN,
Comptroller | Compt
```

initialize function in ABI file:

```
Listing 19: market-sdk-main/src/abi/CToken.json (Line 962)

"name": "initialize",

"outputs": [],

"payable": false,

"stateMutability": "nonpayable",

"type": "function"

967 },
```

Risk Level:

```
Likelihood - 1
Impact - 1
```

Recommendation:

It is recommended to change the name of the initialize method of CTokenV2 class in order to correspond with the ABI contract interface.

Remediation Plan:

ACKNOWLEDGED: The Market.xyz team acknowledged this finding.

3.9 (HAL-09) COMMENTS OF PENDING TASKS - INFORMATIONAL

Description:

The method _setInterestRateModel belonging to the classes CToken and CTokenV2 from file market-sdk-main/src/lib/CToken.ts has the comment change to InterestRateModel class later. This is not a security issue itself, but it denotes a lack of quality for a project that it is supposed to be deployed on production.

```
67 ··_setInterestRateModel(
68 ···newInterestRateModel: string, // change to InterestRateModel class later
69 ···tx?: NonPayableTx
70 ··): PromiEvent<TransactionReceipt> {
71 ···return this.contract.methods._setInterestRateModel(newInterestRateModel).send(tx);
72 ··}
```

Figure 2: Comment on the code

Code Location:

Risk Level:

Likelihood - 1 Impact - 1

Recommendation:

It is recommended to review the comments in the code and apply those pending changes that would affect to the future functionality before get into production environment.

Remediation Plan:

ACKNOWLEDGED: The Market.xyz team acknowledged this finding.

THANK YOU FOR CHOOSING

